IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

WEALTH TAX REFERENCE No 5 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH
and
Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

CHAITANAY CHANDULAL (INDL)

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF WEALTH TAX

Appearance:

1. WEALTH TAX REFERENCE No. 5 of 1988
NOTICE SERVED for Petitioner No. 1
MR AKIL KURESHI with MR MANISH R BHATT for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH
and
MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date of decision: 25/10/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH)

This is a reference at the instance of the assessee. However, when the reference is called out for hearing none appears for the applicant-assessee, though served, and paper book is also not filed. Mr Akil Kureshi learned counsel appears for the revenue.

2. Since no one appears for the assessee in the reference made at the instance of the assessee, we decline to answer the question referred for our opinion at the instance of the assessee.

3. The Reference accordingly stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

(M.S. Shah,J)

(D.A. Mehta,J)

zgs/-